Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 00539 021154Z

51

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 NEA-11 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAM-01 SAJ-01 ACDA-19 SS-20 NSC-10 OMB-01 IO-14 AEC-11

DRC-01 /159 W

----- 046469

R 021115Z FEB 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3859

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

SECRETUSNATO 0539

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12/31/80 TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: LATEST TURKISH THINKING ON MEASURES FOR THE FLANKS

VIENNA FOR US DEL MBFR

FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF SPEAKING NOTE WHICH TURKISH REP (TULUMEN) DREW ON DURING SPC'S FEBRUARY 1 DISCUSSION OF HOW ALLIES MIGHT MOVE ON MEASURES FOR THE FLANKS (DETAILS SEPTEL).

BEGIN TEXT:

1. IT IS A WELL KNOWN FACT THAT CM(73)83(FINAL), CONTAINING THE GUIDELINES, THE ALLIED POSITION, NEGOTIATING STRATEGY AND PROCEDURES AND INTRA-ALLIANCE COORDINATION, SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AS A WHOLE.

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 00539 021154Z

2. PARAGRAPHS 17 AND 22 ARE THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES RELATED TO THE SECURITY INTERESTS OF THE FLANK COUNTRIES AND TO THE INDIVISIBILITY OF THE SECURITY OF THE ALLIANCE. PARAGRAPH 30 IS DESIGNED TO EXPRESS THE MEASURES NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION

OR THE REALIZATION OF THESE PRINCIPLES. THEREFORE, IT WOULD BE CONTRARY TO THE LOGIC OF THIS DOCUMENT, IF IT TRIES TO LIMIT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE PRINCIPLES BY THEIR MERE REPETITION IN THE FORM OF A PROVISION IN THE AGREEMENT TO BE REACHED IN VIENNA, AS PROPOSED BY THE US DELEGATION.

- 3. ON THE OTHER HAND, TO PUT ASIDE PARAGRAPH 30 WHILE STUDYING IN DETAIL THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 29 WOULD MEAN A DISCRIMINATION AGAINST THE SECURITY INTEREST OF THE FLANK COUNTRIES AND WE HOPE THIS IS NOT THE INTENTION OF ANY DELEGATION AROUND THIS TABLE.
- 4. THE CRITERIA FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OR THE REJECTION OF A PROPOSAL MADE BY AN ALLIED COUNTRY WITHIN THE ALLIANCE SHOULD BE THE SECURITY INTEREST OF THE ALLIANCE OR THAT OF ITS MEMBERS. IN OUR PAPER WE TRIED TO EXPLAIN THAT OUR PROBLEM IS ALSO A PROBLEM OF THE ALLIANCE. WE BELEIVE THIS IS NOT CHALLENGED BY ANY OF US, THERE ONLY REMAINS THE PROBLEM OF A POSSIBLE DEMAND OF THE SOVIETS FOR RECIPROCITY.
- 5. WE COULD UNDERSTAND SUCH AN ARGUMENT IF IT WOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILE MAKING EACH PROPOSAL TO THE OTHER SIDE. IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT THIS ARGUMENT IS APPLIED ONLY IN THIS MATTER. NEEDLESS TO SAY THAT A GENERAL APPLICATION OF THIS ARGUMENT WOULD BE VERY MUCH TO THE DISADVANTAGE OF THE ALLIANCE.
- 6. IN THE PAST IT WAS SAID THAT IT IS MORE LIKELY FOR THE SOVIETS TO KEEP THE WITHDRAWN SOVIET FORCES IN THE WESTERN EUROPEAN DISTRICTS RATHER THAN REDEPLOYING THEM ON THE FLANK AREAS. IF THIS HAPPENS TO BE THE CASE, SOME ALLIED COUNTRIES, FOR EXAMPLE GERMAN AUTHORITIES, MIGHT WISH TO HAVE CERTAIN COLLATERAL MEASUES APPLIED TO THESE DISTRICTS. THEN, WHAT WOULD BE THE REACTION OF THE UNITED STATES? IN OTHER WORDS, IS IT THEIR POLICY TO KEEP ALL SOVIET TERRITORIES OUT OF THE CONSTRAINTS AREA BECAUSE OF THE DANGER OF RECIPROCITY? IF NOT, WE CAN ASSURE YOU IN ADVANCE THAT WE WILL NOT ACCEPT A FURTHER DISCRIMINATION ON THE FLANK COUNTRIES BY MAKING A DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE WEST-SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 00539 021154Z

ERN DISTRICTS OF USSR ANDOTHER DISTRICTS ADJACENT TO THE FLANK COUNTRIES.

- 7. YOU HAVE OUR VIEWS ON THE TABLE.WE HAVE NOT YET HEARD THE OFFICIAL REACTION OF SOME INTERESTED COUNTRIES. AS I SAID BEFORE, WE ARE OPEN TO CONSIDER ANY CONSTRUCTIVE PROPOSAL TO COME FROM ANY DELEGATION, ESPECIALLY FROM OTHER FLANK COUNTRIES, SO LONG AS IT MEETS OUR PEOCCUPATIONS.
- 8. AS REGARD THE US PROPOSAL, AT THIS STAGE, WE CAN ONLY CONSIDER CONSECUTIVE INTERVENTIONS TO BE MADE AT THE PLENARIES. OUR PREFERENCE IS TO SEE FIRST A DIRECT PARTICIPANT TO INTRODUCE THE MATTER AND THEN THE TURKISH REPRESENTATIVE TO EXPLAIN THE DETAILS. MAY-BE OTHER FLANK COUNTRIES WOULD DO THE SAME BY DEVELOPING

CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE PROBLEM.

9. NATURALLY, BEFORE COMMITTING OURSELVES TO THIS IDEA WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT WOULD BE OUR LIMITS IN GOING INTO DETAILS. GENERAL STATEMENTS ALONE COULD DO MORE HARM THAN GOOD.

10. IT IS NEEDLESS TO SAY THAT WHILE MAKING INTERVENTIONS AT THE PLENARIES WE WOULD CONTINUE OUR STUDIES ON PARA. $30\,\mathrm{Here}$ IN BRUSSELS.

END TEXT. RUMSFELD

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 FEB 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO00539

Document Number: 1974ATO00539 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS, 12/31/80

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740267/abbryszm.tel Line Count: 122 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: golinofr

Review Content Flags: Review Date: 19 JUL 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19-Jul-2001 by willialc>; APPROVED <30 APR 2002 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: LATEST TURKISH THINKING ON MEASURES FOR THE FLANKS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

To: STATE SECDEF INFO ANKARA

BONN LONDON VIENNA USNMR SHAPE **USCINCEUR**

Type: TE Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005